

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/023,582	12/18/2001	Allen M. Ely	PP12-PT005.1	2688
3624 7:	590 05/27/2004		EXAMINER	
VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C.			MACKEY, PATRICK HEWEY	
UNITED PLAZ	ZA, SUITE 1600 CH STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	IIA, PA 19103		3651	
			DATE MAILED: 05/27/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applica	int(s)	\wedge			
Office Action Comments	10/023,582		LLEN M.	()			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Uni	t	ι,			
	Patrick H. Macke						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, howe ly within the statutory min will apply and will expire a. cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be co SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing become ABANDONED (35 U.S.)	nsidered timely. date of this commu C.§ 133).	unication.			
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 March 2004.							
	<u> </u>						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 14 is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1, 3-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	drawn from consid						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been rec nts have been rec ority documents h au (PCT Rule 17.	eived. eived in Application No. ave been received in thi 2(a)).	·	age			
Attachment(s)	ړ. ۲	Intention Summary (PTO 44	13)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	,	Interview Summary (PTO-41 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	<u> </u>				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) 5) <u> </u>	Notice of Informal Patent Ap Other:	plication (PTO-1	52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/023,582 Page 2

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed 3/22/04 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1, 3-5 and 7-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lotz. Lotz discloses an interleaver having a substrate jam detector that includes first (20) and second (22) conveyors; a substrate feed mechanism (24); a fiber optic jam detector (222); a product detector (156); a perforator (84); an acceleration roller (116); and a controller (34) that determines an unsuccessful substrate feed by determining that the jam detector has failed to sense a substrate for greater than a predetermined interval of zero seconds. An alarm occurs when a jam is detected (starting of roll 26) and upstream equipment (28) is turned off.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lotz in view of Kotsiopoulos. Lotz discloses all the limitations of the claim, but it does not disclose that the sensor is located between the perforator and the acceleration roller. However, Kotsiopoulos

Ť

Art Unit: 3651

discloses a similar device that includes a sensor (62) located immediately in front of an acceleration roller (48) for the purpose of controlling the feed of material to the acceleration roller. It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify Lotz by placing the sensor immediately in front of the acceleration roller, thus between the perforator and the acceleration roll, as disclosed by Kotsiopoulos, for the purpose of controlling the feed of material to the acceleration roller.

Election/Restrictions

6. Newly submitted claim 14 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Claim 14 states that the sensor is positioned downstream from the acceleration rollers. This is a species that is distinct from the species of claim 6 which states that the sensor is positioned upstream from the acceleration rollers.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 14 has been withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 3/22/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 8. The applicant states that Lotz does not disclose a jam detector sensor since the sensors disclosed by Lotz are not adapted to detect when a substrate passes from a substrate feed mechanism onto a second conveyor. The applicant states that the sensors disclosed by Lotz are

Art Unit: 3651

not located in a position to detect when a substrate passes from a substrate feed mechanism onto a second conveyor. In response, the examiner notes that claim 1 does not recite a positional limitation. Additionally, following the logic of the applicant's argument would lead to a 112 first paragraph enablement rejection of claim 1.

9. The Declaration of Richard Woodford under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 3/22/2004 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claim 6 based upon 35 U.S.C. 103 as set forth in the last Office action because: It refer(s) only to the system described in the above referenced application and not to the individual claims of the application. Thus, there is no showing that the objective evidence of nonobviousness is commensurate in scope with the claims. See MPEP § 716.

Additionally, it states that the claimed subject matter solved a problem that was long standing in the art. However, there is no showing that others of ordinary skill in the art were working on the problem and if so, for how long. In addition, there is no evidence that if persons skilled in the art who were presumably working on the problem knew of the teachings of the above cited references, they would still be unable to solve the problem. See MPEP § 716.04.

Conclusion

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 10/023,582

Art Unit: 3651

Page 5

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick H. Mackey whose telephone number is (703) 308-0630. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 7:00 a.m. - 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Ellis can be reached on (703) 308-2560. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patrick J. Mackey Primary Examiner Art Unit 3651